

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DRI ICATIONINO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.	
10/608,871	06/27/2003	John M. de Larios	John M. de Larios LAM2P422 7473		
25920 MARTINE PE	7590 02/21/200 NILLA & GENCAREI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWA		STINSON, FRANKIE L			
SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE	E. CA 94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		1746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.I CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
	·			20070219	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,871	DE LARIOS, JOHN M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746		

	before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE	THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sith in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
		pliance with 27 CER 44 27 must be	filed within the manual				
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
	 	huit maioreto the state of Sliver - buist					
J. Z	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below):	ecause			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w):	TE Delow),				
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
_	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		_				
4. 🖳	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-23, 25-32 AND 34-47.						
AFFII	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The a fidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
10. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	110 ·	_			
_	•		FRANKIE L. STINS	0			
			Primary Examiner	ON .			

Art Unit: 1746

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Having amemded the claims to exclude/eliminate the unpatentable alternatives requires further search and consideration.